UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,252	08/06/2003	Thomas B. Zingale	G08.026/U	9453
28062	7590 01/29/2008 ASCHOEE & TAI WAI K	EXAMINER		
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF & TALWALKAR LLC 50 LOCUST AVENUE			AUGUSTINE, NICHOLAS	
NEW CANAAN, CT 06840		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/635, 252	ZINGALE ET AL.
Examiner.	Art Unit
Nicholas Augustine	2179

	7
() h
	¥

Advisory Action	10/635,252	ZINGALE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner.	Art Unit				
	Nicholas Augustine	2179	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre)SS			
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) Z b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	•				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriat inally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cotca olamio.	•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •	mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment	t canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an exp	pl anation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13,15-22 and 24-37</u> .		,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
WEILUN LO						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/635,252

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitation "wherein each of the plurality of corporate entities is owned by one or more shareholders of the corporate entity" introduces new issues to at least independent claims 13, 22 and 31.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Based on amendments to independent claims 13, 22 and 31, further consideration of the current rejection or a new search must be conducted in view of the amendment to the claims.